In situ measurements of Raman scattering in clear ocean water
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We have further developed and improved the prototype oceanic Fraunhofer line discriminator by using a well-protected fiber-optic–wire cable and in-water electronic housing. We conducted a series of in situ measurements in clear ocean water in the Florida Straits. By comparing the reduced data with the Monte Carlo simulation results, we verify the Raman scattering coefficient $B_r$ with an excitation wavelength at 488 nm to be $2.6 \times 10^{-2} m^{-1}$ [Appl. Opt. 29, 71–84 (1990)], as opposed to $14.4 \times 10^{-2} m^{-1}$ [Appl. Opt. 14, 2116–2120 (1975)]. The wavelength dependence of the Raman scattering coefficient is found to have an insignificant effect on the in-water light field. We also discuss factors that lead to errors. This study can be used as a basis for inelastic light scattering in the radiative transfer theory and will allow other inelastic light, e.g., fluorescence, to be detected with in situ measurements. © 1997 Optical Society of America
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1. Introduction: Raman Scattering and the Oceanic Fraunhofer Line Discriminator

Raman scattering in water is caused by the change of the O–H vibrational modes of water molecules: an incident photon at a certain frequency is scattered by the molecule and shifted in frequency by $3357 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Owing to the experimental difficulty, the value reported in the literature for the Raman scattering cross section $\sigma_\text{Raman}$ varies from $8.1 \times 10^{-30}$ to $45 \times 10^{-30} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ sr}^{-1} \text{ molecule}^{-1}$ [1,2–5]. The dependence of this parameter on the Raman emission wavelength also varies in the literature from $\lambda^{-4}$ to $\lambda^{-5}$.

The importance of Raman scattering in the ocean has been addressed by many authors, and various models have been established to simulate the Raman scattering effect in the in-water light field [3,6–12]. However, no direct in situ measurement under solar illumination was available to quantify the Raman scattered light and to test the models until recently when the oceanic Fraunhofer line discriminator (OFLD) instrument was developed and in situ measurement was made possible.

The idea of using solar Fraunhofer lines to study inelastic light scattering (which is a sum of Raman scattering and fluorescence) is simple but not new. By measuring the change in the absorption line depth of a Fraunhofer line, one can determine the proportion of inelastic light in the total light field. In the 60’s Fraunhofer lines were used to study inelastic light scattering in the atmosphere [13–16]. In the 70’s Fraunhofer lines were used to study the ocean’s reflected fluorescence [17,18]. And more recently instruments such as the Fraunhofer line underwater experiment [19] and the prototype OFLD [20] were used in situ in ocean water to study the variation in Fraunhofer line shapes.

The prototype OFLD consisted of a fiber-optic irradiance collector, a single large fiber (1-mm core size), and a 1-m-focal-length monochromator. The spectral resolution was approximately 0.008 nm, which was sufficient to resolve the 0.1-nm Fraunhofer lines. A CCD array was placed on the focal plane of the monochromator to collect the entire Fraunhofer line and surrounding spectrum (≈3 nm) simultaneously. We made several modifications that are now described. We replaced the single fiber used previously with a fiber–wire cable. This cable has eight multimode, all-silica, 100-μm-core-size fibers protected by a metal shield and eight wire conductors. The cable is 150 m long: 20 m is for on-ship operation, leaving 130 m for in-water use. We built an in-water case to house the fiber splice for two cosine irradiance fiber collectors, a depth transducer,
two cosine irradiance photocell collectors, and the electronic boards for power supply and signal transmission. The depth transducer measures depth to an accuracy of ±0.1 m through the operation range. The photocell collectors are used to monitor the wideband downwelling and upwelling light levels. Neutral-density and interference filters are used to choose the appropriate signal range and wavelength. All the electronic devices are powered and controlled by an electronic control box that has three digital readout boards to read the depth and photocell sensors.

Eight fibers are used to transmit light collected by the downwelling and upwelling cosine irradiance collectors, with four fibers in each. A fiber–wire splice box is used to separate the channels. Six fibers, arranged in a linear array (three from downwelling and three from upwelling), are built into the fiber ferrule. The remaining two fibers are designed to measure the spectra through the whole visible band but are currently unused. The fiber array is placed at the entrance slit of the monochromator and imaged on the CCD array at the output of the monochromator. Thus six tracks on the CCD are used to record the signal from the corresponding six fibers. Other components of the system are as described previously.20 With appropriate adjustment and alignment the system can achieve ~4000 counts/second/pixel on the CCD under normal solar illumination (~100 μW cm⁻² nm⁻¹ in the visible band). The total spectral width measured in one image is a weak function of wavelength and is ~3 nm for the 512 data points on the CCD.

2. Data Reduction Procedures

As described above,21 a parameter with an equivalent width \( w \) is used to describe the Fraunhofer line size. It is defined as

\[
w = \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \left[ 1 - \frac{E(\lambda)}{E_b(\lambda)} \right] d\lambda,
\]

where \( E(\lambda) \) is the spectral irradiance and \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) are the starting and ending points of the Fraunhofer line. \( E_b(\lambda) \) is the background irradiance, which is a straight line formed by \( E(\lambda_1) \) and \( E(\lambda_2) \). At depth \( z \) the ratio of inelastically scattered irradiance to total irradiance, and the ratio of direct transmitted and elastically scattered irradiance to total irradiance, can be shown to be

\[
\frac{E_{in}(z)}{E_b(z)} = \left[ 1 - \frac{w(z)}{w_0} \right],
\]

\[
\frac{E_{el}/E_t}{w_0},
\]

respectively, where \( w(z) \) and \( w_0 \) are equivalent widths at depth \( z \) and the surface, respectively. We have found that the normalized equivalent width [i.e., \( w(z)/w_0 \)] does not depend strongly on the choice of \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \). [Varying \( \lambda_1 \) or \( \lambda_2 \) by 0.5 nm changes \( w(z)/w_0 \) by <2%.

To reduce \( w \) effectively, one must remove the dark current from the measured spectrum. Since the dark current is not homogeneous on the CCD array because of a temperature gradient on the array, the six tracks used to measure the signal are also used to measure the dark current. In addition, two extra tracks are used to record the stray light in the system. Thus the dark current and the stray light can be removed from the spectrum by application of simple algebra.

The spectrum has units of counts versus CCD pixels. Wavelength calibration is needed to convert each pixel to the corresponding wavelength. This is done by using a standard high-spectral-resolution solar atlas22 to identify the wavelengths visually for two absorption peaks in the spectrum. A linear calibration is then applied to convert the pixels to wavelengths.

Although the new OFLD is superior to its predecessor in several ways, there is one trade-off as well. The small-core-size fibers reduce the light that enters the monochromator to approximately one fifth of the old system. Thus noisy spectra are obtained in deep waters and for long wavelengths. Therefore a fitting procedure is used to clean the noisy spectra (Fig. 1). A reference spectrum (usually measured at the surface) is used as a template to fit the noisy spectrum by use of a least-squares fit. The wavelength dependence of the water transmittance is assumed to be linear within the 3-nm bandwidth. Thus the functional form of the fitting formula is given by

\[
f(\lambda) = Ay_0(\lambda) + B\lambda + C,
\]

where \( y_0(\lambda) \) is the reference spectrum [Fig. 1(a), curve I] and fitting coefficients \( A, B, \) and \( C \) account for wavelength-independent transmission, wavelength-dependent transmission, and line filling, respectively. Using \( f(\lambda) \), one can use a least-squares fit to the data at depth \( y_0(\lambda) \) [Fig. 1(a), curve II] to obtain a clean spectrum \( y_0^{HI}(\lambda) \) [Fig. 1(b), curve II]. The depth spectrum is sometimes wavelength shifted from the reference line owing to the monochromator scan error [Fig. 1(a), curve II], and this shift can be as much as 25 data points (~0.2 nm). To correct this shift error, one must shift the data point by point and compare them to the surface template. An error for each shift is determined by

\[
Q_n = \sum_k \left[ f(\lambda) - y_{z,n}(\lambda) \right]^2,
\]

where \( n \) is the number of shifted data points. Comparing the \( Q_n \) for \( n \) from −30 to 30, we obtain the least \( Q \) at \( n = n_0 \), thus determining the correct offset. To reduce the error caused by stray light, we cut 100 data points at each end of the spectra before the fit [Fig. 1(b), curve II]. Since these data points are out of the integration limits in Eq. (1), there is no effect on \( w \).

The fitting procedure has three advantages.
First, it allows wavelength calibration of each data spectrum. When the spectrum is noisy visual identification of a specific spectral feature is impossible, so use of a standard solar atlas is not feasible. Second, it enables the correct background for computation of \( w \) to be determined for a noisy spectrum. \( w \) strongly depends on the background, which is a straight line formed by the two spectral points at \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \). Large errors can occur if the background is chosen from the noisy spectrum. Finally, the fitting procedure can minimize the effect of sky variation on the measurement, as we describe below.

3. **Theory Review and Monte Carlo Simulation**

Various models have been established to simulate Raman scattering in seawater.\(^8,10–12\) Here we choose the one used by Ge et al.\(^11\) Only a small correction is made to modify the radiative transfer in the atmosphere. In this Monte Carlo code the in-water irradiance \( E(\lambda) \) is normalized to unit irradiance entering the top of the atmosphere, then multiplied by the extraterrestrial solar irradiance \( F_0(\lambda) \) to provide the actual irradiance. The in-water irradiance profiles at both excitation wavelength \( \lambda_{ex} \) and emission wavelength \( \lambda_{em} \) are simulated separately. The Rayleigh scattering cross section of air molecules, absorption coefficient for pure \( O_3 \), and aerosol attenuation coefficient are all functions of \( \lambda \), and the parameters used were published by Elterman.\(^23\) The simulation results are slightly different from those of Ge et al.\(^11\)

Simulation results of Raman scattering from 500 to 700 nm are presented here to illustrate the percentage of Raman scattered light in the total light field to as low as 100 m for both downwelling and upwelling irradiances (Fig. 2). Data for wavelengths below 500 nm are not presented here because the light field contains virtually no inelastic light from 400 to 500 nm. In the simulation the sky is clear, the optical thickness of aerosol at 550 nm is 0.25, the solar zenith angle is 25°, and the wind speed is 5 m/s. Pigment concentration is 0.1 mg/m³ throughout the water column.
 effected by Raman scattering as a function of wavelength and depth. For example, Raman scattering is more significant in the upwelling light field than in the downwelling light field for all wavelengths and depths studied. The proportion of Raman scattered light in the total light field \( \left( E_{\text{r}} / E_{\text{l}} \right) \) increases with both wavelength and depth. In the downwelling light field, from 570 to 620 nm, the profile of \( E_{\text{r}} / E_{\text{l}} \) versus depth changes drastically. In the upwelling light field, however, the large change occurs between 500 and 550 nm. In the band 620–689 nm the profile is a relatively weak function of wavelength for both downwelling and upwelling light fields, and the upwelling light contains significant Raman scattered light even in the surface water [Fig. 2(b)].

4. Data Acquisition

The study reported here took place on three cruises in 1995 and 1996 on the research ship, RV (research vessel) Calanus (Fig. 3). Pigment data collected at several of the stations indicated that the pigment concentration was less than 0.1 mg/m³. In general the water was clear. The solar zenith angle was approximately 30° in the summer and 50° in the winter during the data collection. Wind speed was \( \sim 5 \) m/s. Care was taken to collect the data only when the sky was clear and the detector head was not in the ship’s shadow. Also the measurements were taken within \( \pm 3 \) h of solar noon to make sure that the surface Fraunhofer line was stable. We performed laboratory tests to determine the optimal CCD exposure time by using neutral-density filters to simulate the low light level in deep water and in the red. It was found that the maximum effective exposure time is 120 s. The deepest measurement depth is limited by the light level and the cable length and is given in Table 1 for the Fraunhofer line wavelengths.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \lambda ) (nm)</th>
<th>Down/Up</th>
<th>Down/Up</th>
<th>Down/Up</th>
<th>Down/Up</th>
<th>Down/Up</th>
<th>Down/Up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depth (m)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>434.05</td>
<td>70/50</td>
<td>130/70</td>
<td>120/55</td>
<td>55/18</td>
<td>20/4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>486.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>589.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>656.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Depths were limited by light level and cable length for both downwelling and upwelling. These are typical values for summer in the clear water of the Florida Straits.*

5. Results and Discussion

At short wavelengths (434 and 486 nm), Raman scattering was found to be negligible in both downwelling and upwelling light fields at all depths that we could measure. At 518 nm, although the situation is the same for downwelling light, below 25 m the upwelling light contains \( >20\% \) Raman scattered light. Thus in clear ocean water Raman scattering can generally be neglected in the blue region. However, in the yellow (589-nm) and red (656-nm) regions the Raman scattered light occupies a considerable portion in the total light field.

In Fig. 4 the fraction of inelastically scattered light in the total light field for both downwelling and upwelling is drawn versus depth. It is easy to see that the data are fairly stable from station to station, although a small difference caused by the change of solar zenith angle does exist between summer and winter data. At \( \sim 50 \) m, 50% of the downwelling light is from Raman scattering. For upwelling light this depth is \( \sim 18 \) m. In the Monte Carlo simulation the pigment concentration, wind speed, and solar zenith angle data from the 7 December 1995 station. Only Raman scattering is considered in the model with the excitation at 491 nm. Three different Raman scattering coefficients \( B_r \) are used in the model.

![Fig. 3. Station locations in the Florida Straits.](image)

![Fig. 4. Normalized equivalent width \( [w(z)/w_0] \) for the Fraunhofer line at 589 nm for both downwelling and upwelling. The simulation uses the pigment concentration, wind speed, and solar zenith angle data from the 7 December 1995 station. Only Raman scattering is considered in the model with the excitation at 491 nm. Three different Raman scattering coefficients \( B_r \) are used in the model.](image)
Equivalent width generally has a relative error of 5% for noisy spectra. With other factors on a cruise, such as instrument frame shadow or cable shadow, for the deep-water noisy spectra the maximum error in reduced equivalent width is estimated to be <10%.

6. Conclusion
For the first time, to our knowledge, the Raman scattering coefficient has been verified in real ocean water under normal solar illumination. This result, combined with the state-of-the-art instrumentation of the OFLD and data-reduction procedures, will be used as the basis for extracting other inelastic components, such as chlorophyll fluorescence, in the total measured inelastic light.
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