Estimating the altitude of aerosol plumes over the ocean from reflectance ratio measurements in the O$_2$ A-band
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A methodology is proposed to infer the altitude of aerosol plumes over the ocean from reflectance ratio measurements in the O$_2$ A-band (759 to 770 nm). The reflectance ratio is defined as the ratio of the reflectance in a first spectral band, strongly attenuated by O$_2$ absorption, and the reflectance in a second spectral band, minimally attenuated. For a given surface reflectance, simple relations are established between the reflectance ratio and the altitude of an aerosol layer, as a function of atmospheric conditions and the geometry of observation. The expected accuracy for various aerosol loadings and models is first quantified using an accurate, high spectral resolution, radiative transfer model that fully accounts for interactions between scattering and absorption. The method is developed for POLDER and MERIS, satellite sensors with adequate spectral characteristics. The simulations show that the method is only accurate over dark surfaces when aerosol optical thickness at 765 nm is relatively large (>0.3). In this case, the expected accuracy is on the order of ±0.5 km or ±0.2 km for POLDER or MERIS respectively. More accurate estimates are obtained with MERIS, since in this case the spectral reflectance ratio is more sensitive to aerosol altitude. However, a precise spectral calibration is needed for MERIS. The methodology is applied to MERIS and POLDER imagery acquired over marine surfaces. The estimated aerosol altitude is compared with in situ lidar profiles of backscattering coefficient measured during the APEX-2004 experiment for MERIS; or obtained with the space-borne lidar CALIOP for POLDER. The retrieved altitudes agree with lidar measurements in a manner consistent with theory. These comparisons demonstrate the potential of the differential absorption methodology for obtaining information on aerosol altitude over dark surfaces.
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Abstract

A methodology is proposed to infer the altitude of aerosol plumes over the ocean from reflectance ratio measurements in the O$_2$ A-band (759 to 770 nm). The reflectance ratio is defined as the ratio of the reflectance in a first spectral band, strongly attenuated by O$_2$ absorption, and the reflectance in a second spectral band, minimally attenuated. For a given surface reflectance, simple relations are established between the reflectance ratio and the altitude of an aerosol layer, as a function of atmospheric conditions and the geometry of observation. The expected accuracy for various aerosol loadings and models is first quantified using an accurate, high spectral resolution, radiative transfer model that fully accounts for interactions between scattering and absorption. The method is developed for POLDER and MERIS, satellite sensors with adequate spectral characteristics. The simulations show that the method is only accurate over dark surfaces when aerosol optical thickness at 765 nm is relatively large (>0.3). In this case, the expected accuracy is on the order of ±0.5 km or ±0.2 km for POLDER or MERIS respectively. More accurate estimates are obtained with MERIS, since in this case the spectral reflectance ratio is more sensitive to aerosol altitude. However, a precise spectral calibration is needed for MERIS. The methodology is applied to MERIS and POLDER imagery acquired over marine surfaces. The estimated aerosol altitude is compared with in situ lidar profiles of backscattering coefficient measured during the APEX-2004 experiment for MERIS; or obtained with the space-borne lidar CALIOP for POLDER. The retrieved altitudes agree with lidar measurements in a manner consistent with theory. These comparisons demonstrate the potential of the differential absorption methodology for obtaining information on aerosol altitude over dark surfaces.
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1. Introduction

Aerosol vertical distribution is a key parameter for radiative forcing studies, for remote sensing applications, or for air quality forecasts (Al-Saadi et al., 2005; Boucher, 2002; Liao & Seinfeld, 1998). Scattering by mineral particles affects the direct aerosol forcing in the longwave spectral region during dust events, but the effect strongly depends on the altitude of the particles (Dufresne et al., 2002). Aerosol scavenging, therefore the indirect radiative forcing, is determined by the relative location of aerosols and clouds in the vertical (Boucher, 2002). Knowledge of aerosol vertical structure is also important for inversion of satellite data since it affects the reflectance measured at the top of the atmosphere. In this respect, several studies have shown the impact of aerosol vertical structure on the performance of standard atmospheric correction algorithms in ocean color remote sensing, especially in the presence of absorbing particles (Duforêt et al., 2007; Gordon, 1997; Gordon et al., 1997).

A detailed knowledge of the vertical structure of scatterers is available from Lidar techniques. Ground-based Lidar networks, such as the European Aerosol Research Lidar Network (EARLINET) or the Micro-Pulse Lidar Network (MPLNET) can be used to obtain vertical profiles at the local scale (Schneider et al., 2000). At global scale, lidars of the Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observation (CALIPSO) (Winker et al., 2003) and Ice, Cloud, and land Elevation Satellite (ICESat) missions (Zwally et al., 2002) allow one to estimate the vertical distribution of scatterers, but over a limited spatial swath. In addition, methodologies have been proposed to provide information on the aerosol vertical distribution from passive remote sensing measurements. As an example, techniques based on a limb-scanning mode have been applied to the Stratospheric Aerosols and Gas Experiment (SAGE) radiometer, but can hardly be extended to the lower troposphere (Brogan et al., 2002).
In the spectral range of the oxygen A-band (759 to 770 nm), the reflected solar radiation measured at the top of the atmosphere depends mainly on oxygen absorption, surface reflectance, and vertical distribution of scatterers. Methods exploiting spectral variations of absorption in the oxygen A-band, using a moderate spectral resolution, have been proposed by Badayev and Malkevich (1978) to retrieve the aerosol vertical profile and applied by Gabella et al. (1997, 1999) to the Modular Optoelectronic Scanner (MOS) instrument (Zimmermann et al., 1993). In this approach, several bands (four bands in the oxygen A-band for MOS), with a moderate spectral resolution (1 nm), are used. In the case of instruments using only two large spectral bands in the oxygen A-band, such as the Polarization and Directionality of the Earth’s Reflectance POLDER (Deschamps et al., 1994) instrument (10 nm and 40 nm width), methodologies based on reflectance ratio have been proposed for surface pressure or cloud altitude retrievals (Barton & Scott, 1986; Chance, 1997). This approach remains very simple, because it is based on two spectral bands and a common viewing angle, and is applicable to the large swath of the sensors thus allowing a wide spatial coverage. Previous studies have shown the potential of such an approach for the determination of surface pressure (Bréon & Boufiès, 1996; Dubuisson et al., 2001; Van Diedenhoven et al., 2005) or cloud top altitude (Fischer & Grassl, 1991; Van Bauce et al., 1998) from satellite sensors with adequate spectral characteristics, such as POLDER, the MIDium Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (MERIS) (Rast et al., 1999), or the SCanning Imaging Absorption SpectroMeter for Atmospheric CHartography (SCIAMACHY). As a complement to the previous studies, influence of aerosol altitude on the degree of linear polarization of the zenith skylight has been highlighted in the spectral region of the O2 A-band (Boesche et al., 2008).

This paper reports on the potential of using reflectance ratio measurements in the O2 A-band (i.e. a differential absorption technique) for estimating aerosol altitude from space. In Section 2, the method, as well as the radiative transfer model used in the simulations, are described. The expected accuracy is then estimated through a sensitivity study. In Section 3 the proposed methodology is applied to imagery from POLDER and MERIS, instruments that have adequate spectral properties in the spectral range of the O2 A-band (see Section 2.1). Altitude retrievals are first presented using POLDER measurements, for aerosol plumes over the Atlantic Ocean, POLDER measurements offer the opportunity to compare estimates of aerosol altitude with observations of the CALIOP lidar, in the context of the A-train. The methodology is also applied to MERIS imagery acquired in July-August 2004 over the western Mediterranean Sea during the APEX experiment (Antoine et al., 2006). Estimates of aerosol altitude from MERIS data are compared with in situ lidar profiles of backscattering coefficient, measured onboard ship during the campaign. Results and accuracy of retrievals are discussed. A perspective is given on the operational applicability of the method.

2. Potential of the O2 A-band for aerosol altitude retrieval

2.1. Methods and principles

The method proposed to retrieve aerosol altitude exploits the coupling between aerosol scattering and oxygen absorption in the A-band centered on 760 nm (Dubuisson et al., 2001; Van Diedenhoven et al., 2005). The top-of-atmosphere (TOA) reflectance measured in that band depends on the altitude of the atmosphere scatterers, especially aerosols. The higher the aerosols, the larger the reflectance, since a number of photons are backscattered to space instead of absorbed by oxygen in the lower layers. In addition, the coupling between aerosol scattering and oxygen absorption, which enhances absorption, is less effective in this case because there are fewer molecules. Instead of using reflectance, one can use the ratio R of the reflectance influenced by oxygen absorption and the reflectance measured outside of the absorption band or in a spectral region minimally influenced by oxygen absorption. This is convenient to reduce the impact of aerosol scattering and uncertainties due radiometric calibration, though only accurate inter-band calibration is needed. Of course, only one piece of information about aerosol vertical structure can be obtained using R, i.e., an average aerosol altitude, Za. In this study, an accuracy ΔZa < 0.5 km on the aerosol layer altitude is expected, as an example based on requirements needed for ocean color applications (Duforet et al., 2007).

Fig. 1 presents the oxygen transmission in the O2 A-band. The computations were made using a line-by-line code, in which the spectroscopic parameters for oxygen lines are specified from HITRAN 2004 database (Rothman et al., 2005). The POLDER and MERIS instrument have adequate spectral response in the oxygen A-band near 760 nm for measurements of the reflectance ratio R. For the POLDER instrument, the spectral bands are centered at 763 nm (10 nm

![Fig. 1. Spectral transmission (red lines) of the MERIS or POLDER spectral bands located in the region of the oxygen A-band. The line-by-line transmission of oxygen (black lines) is reported for an airmass of 2. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)](image-url)
bands (Dubuisson et al., 2001). Methodologies have been developed to ensure an accurate knowledge of the spectral characteristics of MERIS and POLDER channels. The ratio of the reflectance measured in the oxygen absorption band (MERIS) or the close non-absorbing band (POLDER) over a marine surface is generally close to zero at 760 nm. The main difficulty in this case resides in the strong variability and uncertainties in the atmospheric parameters. On the contrary, photons coming from the solar disc can be fully coupled with molecule and aerosol scattering. Multiple scattering effects are treated with the Discrete Ordinates Method (Stamnes et al., 1988). In this approach, the radiative transfer equation is solved assuming a vertically inhomogeneous atmosphere stratified into plane-parallel and homogeneous layers. Over marine surfaces, an accurate treatment of sea surface roughness, caused by wind, is included using a wave-slope probability density (Cox & Munk, 1954). The interactions with the atmospheric radiance field are taken into account using the Adding Doubling Method (DeHaan et al., 1987; Dufour et al., 2007).

The simulations indicate that the altitude of an aerosol layer $Z_a$ can be related to the reflectance ratio $R$ as long as the other parameters are known, mainly the surface reflectance, oxygen absorption, and optical properties of scatterers. In this case, $Z_a$ can be expressed directly as a function of $R$ through simple parameterizations. These parameterizations depend on surface reflectance, solar and viewing geometry, and the main aerosol optical properties: aerosol optical thickness $\delta_a$, single scattering albedo $\omega_a$, and asymmetry factor $g$. Fig. 2 indicates a higher sensitivity of the ratio $R$ to the altitude of the aerosol layer for MERIS, due to its spectral definition, or for larger solar zenith angles (or view zenith angles by the reciprocity principle) caused by airmass effects. Indeed, Fig. 2 shows that the range of the reflectance ratio is about twice as large for MERIS than for POLDER using the same atmospheric conditions and geometry of observation. Based on the parameterizations $Z_a = f(R)$ presented in Fig. 2, a simple algorithm has been designed to retrieve $Z_a$ from $R$ and from look-up-tables (LUTs). They have been established assuming a wide range of surface parameters, solar and view geometries and aerosol characteristics (see Section 3.1 and Fig. 3 for algorithm details). The availability of the atmospheric or surface parameters will be discussed in Section 3. Note that the contribution of the atmosphere to the TOA reflectance is predominant over dark surfaces, due to scattering processes. The proposed method is therefore expected to be more efficient than for POLDER, in which case the contribution of the reflection of the marine surface is generally close to zero at 760 nm. The main difficulty in this case resides in the strong variability and uncertainties in atmospheric parameters. On the contrary, photons coming from the air are predominant in the case of bright surfaces. The method is therefore less accurate in that case. A sensitivity study is presented in the following section to quantify the expected accuracy of the method.

To investigate the relation between reflectance ratio $R$ and aerosol altitude $Z_a$, the TOA reflectance was simulated using an accurate radiative transfer code referred to as GAME (Dubuisson et al., 1996). In this code, gaseous absorption, calculated using the line-by-line code, is fully coupled with molecule and aerosol scattering. Multiple scattering effects are treated with the Discrete Ordinates Method (Stamnes et al., 1988). In this approach, the radiative transfer equation is solved assuming a vertically inhomogeneous atmosphere stratified into plane-parallel and homogeneous layers. Over marine surfaces, an accurate treatment of sea surface roughness, caused by wind, is included using a wave-slope probability density (Cox & Munk, 1954). The interactions with the atmospheric radiance field are taken into account using the Adding Doubling Method (DeHaan et al., 1987; Dufour et al., 2007).

The simulations indicate that the altitude of an aerosol layer $Z_a$ can be related to the reflectance ratio $R$ as long as the other parameters are known, mainly the surface reflectance, oxygen absorption, and optical properties of scatterers. In this case, $Z_a$ can be expressed directly as a function of $R$ through simple parameterizations. These parameterizations depend on surface reflectance, solar and viewing geometry, and the main aerosol optical properties: aerosol optical thickness $\delta_a$, single scattering albedo $\omega_a$, and asymmetry factor $g$. Fig. 2 indicates a higher sensitivity of the ratio $R$ to the altitude of the aerosol layer for MERIS, due to its spectral definition, or for larger solar zenith angles (or view zenith angles by the reciprocity principle) caused by airmass effects. Indeed, Fig. 2 shows that the range of the reflectance ratio is about twice as large for MERIS than for POLDER using the same atmospheric conditions and geometry of observation. Based on the parameterizations $Z_a = f(R)$ presented in Fig. 2, a simple algorithm has been designed to retrieve $Z_a$ from $R$ and from look-up-tables (LUTs). They have been established assuming a wide range of surface parameters, solar and view geometries and aerosol characteristics (see Section 3.1 and Fig. 3 for algorithm details). The availability of the atmospheric or surface parameters will be discussed in Section 3. Note that the contribution of the atmosphere to the TOA reflectance is predominant over dark surfaces, due to scattering processes. The proposed method is therefore expected to be more efficient than for POLDER, in which case the contribution of the reflection of the marine surface is generally close to zero at 760 nm. The main difficulty in this case resides in the strong variability and uncertainties in atmospheric parameters. On the contrary, photons coming from the air are predominant in the case of bright surfaces. The method is therefore less accurate in that case. A sensitivity study is presented in the following section to quantify the expected accuracy of the method.

To investigate the relation between reflectance ratio $R$ and aerosol altitude $Z_a$, the TOA reflectance was simulated using an accurate radiative transfer code referred to as GAME (Dubuisson et al., 1996). In this code, gaseous absorption, calculated using the line-by-line code, is fully coupled with molecule and aerosol scattering. Multiple scattering effects are treated with the Discrete Ordinates Method (Stamnes et al., 1988). In this approach, the radiative transfer equation is solved assuming a vertically inhomogeneous atmosphere stratified into plane-parallel and homogeneous layers. Over marine surfaces, an accurate treatment of sea surface roughness, caused by wind, is included using a wave-slope probability density (Cox & Munk, 1954). The interactions with the atmospheric radiance field are taken into account using the Adding Doubling Method (DeHaan et al., 1987; Dufour et al., 2007).

The simulations indicate that the altitude of an aerosol layer $Z_a$ can be related to the reflectance ratio $R$ as long as the other parameters are known, mainly the surface reflectance, oxygen absorption, and optical properties of scatterers. In this case, $Z_a$ can be expressed directly as a function of $R$ through simple parameterizations. These parameterizations depend on surface reflectance, solar and viewing geometry, and the main aerosol optical properties: aerosol optical thickness $\delta_a$, single scattering albedo $\omega_a$, and asymmetry factor $g$. Fig. 2 indicates a higher sensitivity of the ratio $R$ to the altitude of the aerosol layer for MERIS, due to its spectral definition, or for larger solar zenith angles (or view zenith angles by the reciprocity principle) caused by airmass effects. Indeed, Fig. 2 shows that the range of the reflectance ratio is about twice as large for MERIS than for POLDER using the same atmospheric conditions and geometry of observation. Based on the parameterizations $Z_a = f(R)$ presented in Fig. 2, a simple algorithm has been designed to retrieve $Z_a$ from $R$ and from look-up-tables (LUTs). They have been established assuming a wide range of surface parameters, solar and view geometries and aerosol characteristics (see Section 3.1 and Fig. 3 for algorithm details). The availability of the atmospheric or surface parameters will be discussed in Section 3. Note that the contribution of the atmosphere to the TOA reflectance is predominant over dark surfaces, due to scattering processes. The proposed method is therefore expected to be more efficient than for POLDER, in which case the contribution of the reflection of the marine surface is generally close to zero at 760 nm. The main difficulty in this case resides in the strong variability and uncertainties in atmospheric parameters. On the contrary, photons coming from the air are predominant in the case of bright surfaces. The method is therefore less accurate in that case. A sensitivity study is presented in the following section to quantify the expected accuracy of the method.
2.2. Sensitivity study

An analysis has been performed from the pre-computed LUTs, to evaluate the theoretical accuracy of the proposed method, as well as its sensitivity to the main parameters. First, assuming that all parameters are well known, the numerical accuracy due to interpolation is on the order of 0.01 km and can be considered as negligible. The theoretical accuracy $\Delta Z_a$ on the retrieved altitude has been then evaluated as a function of the aerosol optical thickness, assuming an accuracy of 0.5% on the radiometric calibration of the reflectance ratio $R$ (Hagolle et al., 1999). In these conditions, the spectral accuracy is given in Table 1. It is about 0.1 km for larger aerosol optical thickness $\delta_a$ at 765 nm (i.e. $\delta_a$>0.3) with MERIS. Uncertainties are bigger with the POLDER instrument, due to its spectral definition, i.e. on the order of 0.2 km. The MERIS instrument has a better sensitivity and the expected accuracy is generally twice as good. For smaller $\delta_a$, $\Delta Z_a$ increases to 0.3 and 0.7 km for MERIS and POLDER, respectively. Indeed, the influence of aerosol scattering on the reflectance ratio is very weak in this case, and the method is more accurate for $\delta_a$ at 765 nm higher than 0.3.

Table 1 also indicates that an accurate specification of the spectral calibration of the MERIS absorbing channel improves the altitude retrievals. An uncertainty of 0.01 nm on the spectral position of the MERIS channel at 761.75 nm leads to a maximal deviation $\Delta Z_a$ of about 0.2 km for smaller $\delta_a$ and less than 0.1 km for larger $\delta_a$. This accuracy is adequate for the aerosol altitude retrieval. Note that this spectral shift of ±0.01 nm is on the order of the uncertainty in the in-flight spectral calibration of MERIS (Casadio & Colagrande, 2004; Dubuisson et al., 2003; Ramon et al., 2003). On the other hand, Table 1 shows that an uncertainty of 0.1 nm on the spectral calibration leads to much larger $\Delta Z_a$ values, and thus a precise spectral calibration is necessary to apply the differential absorption method to MERIS. To the contrary, the retrieved altitude $Z_a$ with POLDER is less sensitive to the spectral calibration, due to the spectral definition of POLDER channels. Assuming the same spectral shifts, $\Delta Z_a$ is always less than 0.1 km.

Table 2 gives the accuracy of the method as a function of the surface reflectance at 765 nm, assuming a radiometric calibration of ±0.5% on $R$. As expected, the method is more efficient for dark surfaces. Indeed, for bright surfaces, outgoing photons are mainly scattered outside the glitter pattern. The glitter reflectance can be evaluated using the Cox and Munk model (1954), and reflectance thresholds can be defined for operational use. Note that over continental surfaces, the spectral dependence of the surface reflectance can be an additional source of uncertainty for the retrieval of aerosol altitude.

Table 2 displays the sensitivity of the method to uncertainties on the surface reflectance and aerosol model, as a function of $\delta_a$ at 765 nm. Reflectance simulations have been performed assuming realistic deviations on the surface reflectance $\rho_s$ (±0.005) and aerosol layer thickness $H_a$ (±0.5 km). In addition, three aerosol models (Dubovik et al., 2002), with various absorption and scattering properties at 765 nm, have been considered for simulations: oceanic ($\delta_a$=0.97; $g_a$=0.68), dust ($\delta_a$=0.96; $g_a$=0.60) and biomass burning ($\delta_a$=0.85; $g_a$=0.56). Table 3 shows that the sensitivity of the method to $\rho_s$ or aerosol model is on the order of a few hundred meters for $\delta_a$ larger than 0.3. Knowledge of the surface reflectance and the aerosol model is then necessary for an accurate determination of $Z_a$. The aerosol layer thickness also contributes to retrieval quality, but to a lesser extent. Table 3 confirms that the retrievals are especially sensitive to aerosol properties or surface uncertainties for low aerosol optical thicknesses. In this case, $\Delta Z_a$ is ranging from 0.5 to 1 km for $\delta_a$<0.3 and, consequently, the method will be more accurate for high $\delta_a$. Note that the reflectance ratio $R$ is also sensitive to the aerosol optical thickness (not shown). The aerosol optical thickness is a standard product for MERIS and POLDER, and it can therefore be used in the retrieval scheme.

Table 3 Sensitivity of the retrieved altitude $Z_a$ obtained with POLDER reflectance ratio to the surface reflectance $\rho_s$, the aerosol model or the aerosol layer thickness $H_a$.

Results for MERIS are reported in parentheses. The standard error $\Delta Z_a$ (km) is calculated using the same conditions as in Table 1, assuming three aerosol models (Dubovik et al., 2002) with various absorbing properties: oceanic, dust and biomass burning. Sensitivity to the aerosol model is calculated (i) assuming the dust model in LUTs, and (ii) oceanic or biomass burning for reflectance ratio simulations as an input to the retrieval algorithm.
optical thickness leads to a deviation of about 0.2 km or 0.1 km on $Z_a$ for $\delta_a$ at 765 nm of 0.3 or 0.6, respectively. Such accuracy on the satellite product is acceptable for the proposed method.

In conclusion, the results presented in Tables 1–3 show that the reflectance ratio $R$ is sensitive to aerosol altitude over marine surfaces, confirming the potential of using the oxygen absorption for aerosol altitude estimation. Nevertheless, an estimate of the aerosol altitude ($\Delta Z_a \leq 0.5$ km) requires knowing the surface reflectance and the optical aerosol properties (optical thickness and model). The accuracy of the aerosol optical thickness from MERIS or POLDER products is sufficient for the retrieval method. In addition, Table 4 shows the sensitivity of the reflectance in the non-absorbing channel to variations of the surface reflectance or aerosol properties. For convenience, the influence of these variations is expressed as relative difference (%). Table 4 shows that the reflectance in the non-absorbing channel is sensitive mainly to the surface reflectance and aerosol properties, and it is weakly affected by uncertainties on the aerosol layer thickness. As expected, the surface reflectance strongly impacts the TOA reflectance for smaller $\delta_a$, while the aerosol model has an influence for all $\delta_a$, and especially for $\delta_a$ larger than 0.3. However, the surface reflectance is not a critical parameter over ocean. Indeed, surface reflectance is generally close to zero, except in the glitter pattern or in coastal regions. As indicated above, in the glitter pattern the surface reflectance can be evaluated using the Cox and Munk (1954) parameterization, from the wind speed. By knowing the marine reflectance, the reflectance in the non-absorbing channel can then be used to constrain the choice of the aerosol model. This point is discussed in Section 3.1.

3. Application to POLDER and MERIS imagery over marine surfaces

3.1. Retrieval algorithm

Following the results of Section 2, a simple and fast algorithm for retrieval of aerosol altitude over the ocean from MERIS and POLDER instruments is presented in Fig. 3. The retrieval is based on measurements of the reflectance ratio $R$, which mainly depends on surface reflectance, aerosol optical properties, viewing and solar geometry. Some of these parameters are well known for each pixel in the satellite imagery, such as solar and viewing angles ($\theta_s, \phi_s, \theta_v, \phi_v$). The Rayleigh scattering and oxygen absorption can be accurately simulated from ancillary products, such as the surface pressure. Note that retrievals are performed using only clear pixels, selected from the cloud mask of MERIS or POLDER.

The aerosol optical thickness $\delta_a$ is available from satellite products at 865 nm for MERIS, and 670 nm and 865 nm for POLDER. However, the optical thickness $\delta_a$ at 765 nm is needed in the algorithm. The satellite products have been interpolated using the aerosol optical thickness and the Angström coefficient. In addition, Table 4 shows that the reflectance in the non-absorbing channel is mainly sensitive to the aerosol model, which can be evaluated from this reflectance. The most adequate aerosol model can be selected through comparisons between the simulated reflectance in the non-absorbing channel and measurements. For a given situation (solar and viewing angles, aerosol optical thickness, surface reflectance), the simulated reflectance is interpolated from LUTs for each aerosol model and compared with the satellite reflectance, in the non-absorbing channel. The best model is defined as the one that gives the lower difference between the simulated and satellite reflectance. The objective of this iterative procedure is not to determine the aerosol optical properties, but to improve the plume altitude retrieval by choosing the more appropriate aerosol model.

Finally, the reflectance in the absorbing and non-absorbing channels has been simulated for MERIS and POLDER, from their actual spectral response. The reflectance in the absorbing channel is

![Fig. 4. Reflectances in the $O_2$ POLDER channel (763 nm) for the three scenes selected in Section 3.2, with aerosol plumes over Atlantic in May and September 2007.](image-url)
very sensitive to the spectral calibration of the MERIS sensor (see Table 1). The LUTs have been calculated using the nominal spectral response and assuming a variable central wavelength in the range of ±1 nm, with a step of 0.01 nm to account for extreme shifts ('smile effect') observed during the spectral characterization before launch (Delwart et al., 2007; Dubuisson et al., 2003). The adequate LUT is then selected following the spectral shift given in the MERIS products, deduced from the in-flight calibration.

Fig. 5. Mean altitude $Z_a$ (km) of a 1 km thick aerosol layer retrieved using the algorithm presented in Section 3.1 (right column), from the reflectance ratio measured with POLDER in the O$_2$ A-band for three reference scenes (see Fig. 4) showing dust plumes over the Atlantic. POLDER aerosol optical thickness product $\delta_a$ at 765 nm is also presented (left column). The CALIOP track is reported with the black solid line on the maps.
The methodology employs LUTs calculated with the GAME code. Relations between \(Z_a\) and \(R\), presented in Fig. 2, have been developed for a wide range of parameters:

- Aerosol properties: optical thickness \(\delta_a\) (0.02 to 3), layer altitude \(Z_a\) (0 to 10 km), layer thickness of 1 km and model (maritime, dust, urban, and biomass burning) defined by the single scattering albedo and asymmetry factor, and representative of absorbing or non-absorbing particles;
- Surface reflectance: \(\rho_s\) (0 to 0.1); the method is not applied if \(\rho_s > 0.1\);
- Viewing and solar geometry: zenith angles \(\theta_s\), \(\theta_v\) (0 to 80°) and azimuth angles \(\phi_s\), \(\phi_v\) (0 to 180°).

From the measured reflectance ratio \(R\), \(Z_a\) is obtained from the LUTs through interpolations. The estimated \(Z_a\) is defined as the median altitude of the aerosol layer.

Note that our goal was not to design an operational algorithm for MERIS and POLDER, but to have a simple algorithm to test the capabilities of the retrieval method from measurements. Some peculiarities of MERIS or POLDER have not been included in our inversion scheme. For example, the degree of linear polarization inside the O\(_2\) A-band is sensitive to aerosol altitude (Boesche et al., 2008). These authors have also shown a high sensitivity of the degree of polarization to microphysical properties and optical thickness of aerosol. This aspect is not presented in this study, because the POLDER and MERIS channels in the O\(_2\) A-band are not polarized. However, polarization of the skylight in the O\(_2\) A-band would be certainly useful to improve the aerosol altitude inversion. Furthermore, we have used realistic but arbitrary aerosol models for LUTs calculations. Using the same aerosol models than those in the POLDER or MERIS operational algorithm to estimate the aerosol optical thickness product would improve the inversion or, at least, the coherence of the inversion scheme.

### 3.2. Results using POLDER data

The methodology described in the previous section has been applied to POLDER data. Spectral characteristics of the POLDER instrument, onboard PARASOL, allow an estimate of the apparent pressure in the oxygen band (Buriez et al., 1997; Vanbucque et al., 1998). Moreover, POLDER has the ability to measure directional characteristics of the light reflected by a given pixel. The aerosol altitude can then be estimated for the same pixel using several view angles (up to 16 directions). In addition, simultaneous measurements of the space-borne lidar CALIOP can be used for comparison purposes (both instruments are onboard satellites of the A-train constellation). CALIOP is a three-channel backscatter lidar aboard CALIPSO, optimized for aerosol and cloud profiling and operating in the nadir view (Winker et al., 2004). CALIOP provides information on the vertical distribution of atmospheric particles, allowing direct comparisons with POLDER estimates.

Three scenes have been selected with optimal conditions for comparisons, i.e., with cloudless atmosphere and high aerosol loading (Fig. 4). For these scenes, simultaneous data from POLDER and CALIOP are available. Fig. 5 presents the POLDER aerosol optical thickness products for the selected scenes, with aerosol plumes over Atlantic in May and September 2007. Retrieved altitude \(Z_a\) obtained with the algorithm described in Section 3.1 is also presented in Fig. 5 for the three scenes. Altitudes in Fig. 5 are the average altitudes over all POLDER directions. Fig. 5 reveals a coherent spatial distribution for retrieved altitude \(Z_a\). In the case of large \(\delta_a\) aerosols are mainly located between 1 and 3 km, a typical situation for dust aerosols over the western coast of Africa. For small \(\delta_a\), the theoretical study has shown that the method is not adapted, and accordingly the method overestimates the aerosol altitude. Indeed, the POLDER reflectance ratio is higher for low optical thickness \(\delta_a\). According to the simulations, these high reflectance ratios can only correspond to (1) a single aerosol layer at an altitude \(Z_a\) of around 4 to 6 km or (2) aerosols vertically distributed with a scale height of about 4 to 6 km. These results show that the influence of scatterers located at higher altitudes is not negligible in this case and the method is not adapted for lower \(\delta_a\).

The POLDER capability to observe a surface point from multiple view angles has been also exploited. The variability \(\Delta Z_a\) of the retrieved altitude has been evaluated from POLDER measurements. \(\Delta Z_a\) is defined as the root mean square altitude error, calculated from altitudes retrieved for the 16 viewing directions available with POLDER for a same pixel. Fig. 6 presents the standard deviation of \(\Delta Z_a\) as a function of the aerosol optical thickness \(\delta_a\) at 765 nm, for all pixels of the previous scenes. The standard deviation \(\Delta Z_a\) allows an estimate of the method accuracy, i.e., \(\Delta Z_a\) is on the order of 0.1 km for large \(\delta_a\), with a small variability represented with vertical error bars in Fig. 6. This accuracy decreases to about 0.6 km for low aerosol amounts, with a larger dispersion. Fig. 6 confirms that the method is more accurate for \(\delta_a\) larger than 0.3 with POLDER. These results are coherent with theoretical estimates presented as a function of the aerosol optical thickness in Table 1.

Comparisons between POLDER estimates (mean altitude of a 1 km aerosol layer) and CALIOP measurements (top and bottom of the aerosol layer), for May 9, are presented in Fig. 7. These comparisons show agreement to within 0.5 km with the CALIOP retrieval of the median altitude of the aerosol layer (level-2 data product), for latitudes ranging from 14° to 26° on the CALIPSO track. This deviation can be explained by uncertainties on the aerosol properties used in the retrieval algorithm (optical thickness and model) or inhomogeneous vertical distribution of aerosol particles in the layer. However, for latitude ranging from 12° to 14°, the aerosol optical thickness is less than 0.4 and once again, the retrieved altitude is overestimated \((Z_a \geq 4 \text{ km})\). Note that there is no altitude information from CALIOP in this case, \(\delta_a\) being too small. On May 14 (Fig. 8), comparisons agree for higher latitudes \((13° \text{ to } 17°)\) with small differences. Deviations increase up to 1 km for latitude between 7° and 13°, with \(\delta_a\) at 765 nm larger than 0.5. The aerosol altitude \(Z_a\) is underestimated and simulations have shown that these deviations can only be explained with the presence of a more complex vertical structure for aerosols, including a layer near the surface, or by the presence of low clouds.
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located under the aerosol layer. This situation seems possible since CALIOP measurements show the presence of a second layer, especially for latitudes ranging from 12° to 13°. Conclusions are quite similar for comparisons on September 16 (Fig. 8), with coherent retrievals with POLDER as a function of the vertical distribution of aerosols.

3.3. Results using MERIS data during AOPEX

Comparisons between in situ measurements of the aerosol vertical distribution and retrieved altitudes from MERIS data using the algorithm described in Section 3.1 have been also performed. Measurements collected at sea during the Advanced Optical Properties Experiment (AOPEX) 2004 (Antoine et al., 2006) in July–August 2004 over the western Mediterranean Sea have been used, as well as MERIS observations available during the campaign (Fig. 9). Nearly simultaneous in situ lidar data have been also obtained. These lidar data have been processed into profiles of backscattering coefficients according to Voss et al. (2001) and Welton and Campbell (2002). Aerosol optical thickness derived from MERIS data is available to apply the oxygen absorption method, as well as in situ measurements from five sun photometers. Validations of the MERIS aerosol optical thickness product, performed in previous studies through comparisons with in situ measurements, have shown a good correlation with MERIS slightly underestimating the aerosol optical thickness (Bécu et al., 2003; Ruddick et al., 2003). Surface pressure measurements are also available. The four study cases presented in Table 5 have been analyzed. These cases correspond to the situations observed during AOPEX with clear atmospheric conditions and outside the glitter pattern ($\rho_s < 0.1$). Uncertainties on in situ aerosol optical thickness

![Fig. 7. Comparisons (upper curve) between the altitude of the top (red circles) and bottom (blue circles) of the aerosol layer obtained from CALIOP level-2 products, and the altitude $Z_a$ retrieved using POLDER reflectance ratio measurements (black circles). Comparisons are presented as a function of the latitude, along the CALIOP track and for the reference scene on May 09, 2007 (see Fig. 5). $Z_a$ is defined as the mean altitude of 1 km thick aerosol layer. The aerosol optical thickness $\delta_a$ at 765 nm, obtained from POLDER products and used in the retrieval algorithm, is reported as a function of the latitude (lower curve). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)](image)

![Fig. 8. Comparisons between the altitude of the top (red circles) and bottom (blue circles) of the aerosol layer obtained from CALIOP level-2 products, and the altitude $Z_a$ retrieved using POLDER reflectance ratio measurements (black circles). Comparisons are presented as a function of the latitude, along the CALIOP track and for the reference scenes on May 14 and September 16, 2007 (see Fig. 5). $Z_a$ is defined as the mean altitude of 1 km thick aerosol layer. Note that CALIOP data indicate the presence of high clouds between 5 and 6 km. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)](image)
have been estimated using simultaneous measurements obtained from the five sun photometers. These uncertainties are reported in Table 5 and indicate that the measurements agree with the MERIS products. In addition, a situation including high and thin cirrus clouds has been added to complete the analysis.

Fig. 10 presents the retrievals for the four scenes. The MERIS aerosol product is presented over the AOPEX region. The retrieved aerosol altitude, obtained with our algorithm, is presented for the same area using aerosol optical thickness from MERIS products. Low aerosol layers (0 km < \( \delta_a \) < 1 km) are generally obtained, except on August 16 (\( \delta_a < 5 \) km) suggesting the presence of optically thin high clouds. Profiles of backscattering coefficient, as well as altitude estimates calculated using \( \delta_a \) from MERIS, are also reported in Fig. 11 for the measurement stations (represented in Fig. 10 with the symbol ♦ on the map). Fig. 11 compares the relevant lidar profiles with the algorithm derived aerosol altitude, for the three scenes with clear atmospheric conditions and the scene with upper level cirrus clouds. Note that \( \delta_a \) at 765 nm from MERIS products is less than 0.3 for the three clear scenes. These low aerosol loadings do not provide optimal conditions for testing of the method. On August 7, 2004, using the aerosol optical depth from MERIS (\( \delta_a = 0.1 \)) results in an aerosol height lower than the one found in the lidar profiles. Considering the uncertainties of in situ measurements of \( \delta_a \) reported in Table 5, the retrieved altitude (presented as a vertical error bar in Fig. 11) is coherent with the lidar vertical profile. On August 10, the method provides satisfactory estimates in the case of a single and homogeneous aerosol layer and using the \( \delta_a \) obtained from MERIS products. This situation substantiates that a satisfactory accuracy (about 0.1 km) can be reached for larger \( \delta_a \). These comparisons confirm that it is possible to estimate the aerosol altitude for a single aerosol layer if the optical thickness \( \delta_a \) is known, even in the case of small optical thicknesses (\( \delta_a < 0.3 \)) due to the better sensitivity of MERIS (see Section 2). However, the retrieval is very sensitive to \( \delta_a \) in this case, with larger uncertainties on August 10, 2004 (\( \delta_a = 0.1 \) in Fig. 11), and a correct estimate of \( \delta_a \) is then needed. On July 31, 2004, in the case of a multilayer structure, the algorithm also does a good job of finding the top of the aerosol layer with MERIS data or in situ measurements. Finally, the method is highly sensitive to the presence of cirrus clouds. On August 16, 2004, a cirrus cloud is present near 10 km height. The cloud strongly influences the reflectance ratio and the retrieved aerosol altitude is much higher than the actual one. This is evidenced in Fig. 10, which exhibits a large number of pixels with too high altitude. The presence of thin cirrus is sensed, but incorrectly interpreted as aerosol with the MERIS product. This suggests that the method may be used to detect thin clouds in satellite imagery and, consequently, to improve cloud screening algorithms.

4. Conclusions

A method has been presented for estimating the altitude of aerosol plumes over the ocean from space. The method is based on reflectance ratio measurements in the spectral region of the O\(_2\) A-band. The altitude of an aerosol layer is related, through simple parameterizations, to the reflectance ratio defined as the ratio of the reflectance

Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>MERIS ( \delta_a )</th>
<th>In situ ( \delta_a )</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11 July 2004</td>
<td>0.20 at 9H155</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.02</td>
<td>Clear atmosphere with aerosols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 August 2004</td>
<td>0.11 at 9H135</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.02</td>
<td>Clear atmosphere with aerosols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 August 2004</td>
<td>0.30 at 9H414</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.01</td>
<td>Cirrus clouds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 August 2004</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>Cirrus clouds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Aerosol optical thicknesses \( \delta_a \) at 765 nm, obtained from MERIS products, and acquisition time are reported. In situ measurements of \( \delta_a \) obtained from five different ground-based sun photometers during the acquisition time of MERIS, are also presented. The uncertainties on \( \delta_a \) have been evaluated from the measurements of the five photometers.
MERIS aerosol product $\delta_a$ at 865 nm (left column) and retrieved aerosol altitude $Z_a$ (right column) over the AOPEX campaign area during summer 2004, for the four situations presented in Table 5 and Fig. 9. Aerosol altitude is estimated from MERIS reflectance ratio, using $\delta_a$ from MERIS products. The station localization (with lidar in situ measurements) is represented with the symbol ♦ on the maps.
measured in an absorbing channel and in a close non-absorbing channel. Parameterizations are compiled into LUTs to provide a fast and simple algorithm. The parameterizations have been obtained using the high spectral resolution radiative transfer code GAME. The LUTs have been calculated for the space sensors MERIS and POLDER, which have adequate spectral characteristics. A theoretical analysis has shown an expected accuracy of about ±0.5 km or ±0.2 km for POLDER or MERIS, respectively. This theoretical accuracy can be reached for higher aerosol optical thickness ($\delta_a > 0.3$), over dark surfaces ($\rho_s < 0.06$), and assuming a calibration accuracy of ±0.5% on the reflectance ratio. More accurate estimates are obtained with MERIS, because the 754 nm band is outside the oxygen absorption lines. However, a precise spectral calibration is needed for MERIS. It is important to notice that the method is only accurate ($\Delta Z_a < 0.5$ km) for a surface reflectance less than 0.06 with POLDER measurements. The POLDER spectral characteristics restrict the field of application, limiting the method to marine surfaces. Due to its better sensitivity, the method could be applied to MERIS for relatively brighter surfaces, at least in theory.

The methodology has been applied to MERIS and POLDER (onboard PARASOL) imagery over marine surfaces, with coherent results with respect to the theory and measurements. Retrieved altitudes have been compared with in situ profiles of backscattering coefficient acquired during the AOPEX 2004 experiment for MERIS, or with CALIOP measurements in the context of the A-train for POLDER. Coherent altitudes have been obtained for the available situations. The retrieved method is sensitive to the vertical distribution of scatterers allowing an estimation of the altitude of the aerosol layer with an accuracy on the order of 0.5 km. Comparisons have confirmed that the method is only accurate for aerosol optical thicknesses larger than 0.3 at 765 nm, but the lidar comparisons show fairly good agreement with MERIS derived altitudes for lower $\delta_a$ values. Indeed, the spectral definition of channels in the O$_2$ A-band leads to a better sensitivity to altitude with MERIS than POLDER. In addition, cirrus clouds have a strong impact on the retrievals. Comparisons between MERIS data and aerosol altitude retrievals have shown that the method provides information on the presence of cirrus clouds making it possible to detect thin high clouds for pixels defined as clear in operational
Furthermore, the use of a two-band technique limits the potential of the method and only one piece of information is reachable. For example, additional tests have shown that the retrieved plume altitudes are quite similar if the vertical distribution of aerosols varies exponentially with altitude in the LUTs, with a scale height, instead of having the aerosols concentrated in a single layer. In the case of complex vertical structures such as a multilayer system, only the mean altitude of particles in the total atmospheric column is available. Potential of the degree of linear polarization inside the $O_2$ A-band for estimating the altitude of a single aerosol layer has been demonstrated, but limitations exist in the case of multiple aerosol layers (Boesch et al., 2008). Accurate retrievals of aerosol vertical distribution would be possible from radiometric measurements only if more information is available. This may be achieved with additional spectral bands or angular observations (Frouin et al., 2007) and using the polarization of skylight in the oxygen A-band. Koppere et al. (1997) have also demonstrated that a complete description of aerosol properties is possible from high spectral resolution measurements in the Oxygen A-band, at least in theory. More recently, Pelletier et al. (2007, 2008) proposed a methodology to retrieve the vertical distribution of aerosol from multi-angular measurements in the oxygen A-band, using a spectral resolution comparable to the MERIS one. Although the method, which seeks regularization solutions, is more complex than a simple two-band ratio technique, it is applicable to space sensors such as POLDER-PARASOL, or ground-based instruments such as AERONET sky radometers (Holben et al., 1998). Indeed, these instruments offer the possibility to measure reflectance in multi-angular conditions. The above approaches constitute interesting alternatives to lidar systems, offering the opportunity to provide information on aerosol vertical structure, not only at nadir, but over the entire swath of satellite sensors.
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